



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/680,599	10/06/2000	Richard R. Wessman	OR00-03802	1833
22835	7590 04/06/2004		EXAMINER	
PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP 508 SECOND STREET			BETIT, JACOB F	
SUITE 201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DAVIS, CA 95616			2175	₽
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004	0

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

h

Advisory Action

		٨
Application No.	Applicant(s)	1/2
09/680,599	WESSMAN, RICHARD R.	<i>y</i> 4 -
Examiner	Art Unit	_
Jacob F. Betit	2175	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 22 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

condi	tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Fination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) [The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
_	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the fin no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL 706.07(f).	the final rejection.
fee hav fee und (2) as s	ktensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the der 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	e fee. The appropriate extension set in the final Office action: or
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period se 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appearance.	
2.🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a	a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NC	TE below);
(b	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially re issues for appeal; and/or	ducing or simplifying the
(d	i) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	, timely filed amendment
5.⊠	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered to application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	out does NOT place the
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issue raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	s which were newly
7.🖂	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appearance.	
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
	Claim(s) allowed:	
	Claim(s) objected to:	•
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>25-51</u> .	
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Exa	miner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	/ Kun A
10.	Other:	James
		SAM RIMELL
		PRIMACI, LANVINER





Continuation of 2. NOTE:

The newly added claim limitation of: "wherein using the encryption function involves using an encryption key recovered from an abfuscated copy of a keyfile within volatile memory", found in the amended independent claims 25, 34, and 43, raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The newly added claim limitation found in the amended independent claims 25, 34, and 43, raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search, and the claim limitations of the "finally rejected" claims are still met by the Zizzi (U.S. patent No. 6,185,681) in view of Albrecht et al. (International Publication No. 97/29569) references.